

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/623,421 07/18/2003		8/2003	Andrea C. Hughs-Baird	0112300-1450	4081		
29159 7	7590	11/03/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYI	D & LLOY	ASHBURN, STEVEN L					
P. O. BOX 113	15						
CHICAGO, II	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
				3714			

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·				·				
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	$\Lambda \Lambda \Lambda$			
	Office Action Summer	10/623,4	21	HUGHS-BAIRD ET	AL.'\\ \			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	···········			
		Steven A		3714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to the total provided by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  FOR 1.136(a). In no excation.  ays, a reply within the sta  pry period will apply and v  by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron olication to become ABANDON	mely filed  ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 18 July 2004.			•			
		☐ This action is r	non-final.					
3)□	·—							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	-						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co	·					
Applicati	on Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 July 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	are: a)⊠ accepte n to the drawing(s) l e correction is requin	be held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFF				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				•			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have bee cuments have bee he priority docum Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	stage			
				,				
Attachment	• •		<b></b>	(070 445)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 因 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/623,421

Art Unit: 3714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-44 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-72 of U.S. Patent No. 6,439,995 and claims 1-76 of U.S. Patent No. 6,595,854. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. In particular both the '995 patent and the '854 patent claim gaming devices which display multiple selection groups wherein (i) a first selection group includes award indicators and picks indicators; and (ii) a subsequent selection group includes award indicators and the number of selection picks in the subsequent selection group corresponds to the value of the selected picks indicators. Thus, in view of the gaming devices claimed in the '995 patent and the '854, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify the gaming devices claimed the '995 patent or the '854 patent to display multiple selection groups wherein a first selection group includes award indicators and

Art Unit: 3714

picks indicators; and a subsequent selection group includes award indicators and the number of selection picks in the subsequent selection group corresponds to the value of the selected picks indicators.

## **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Ashburn whose telephone number is 703 305 3543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H Banks can be reached on 703-308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained
from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available
through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic
Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

s.a.

MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 3